



California State Association of Counties
1100 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814
916.327.7500
3rd Floor Facsimile 916.492.2870

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

June 1, 2009

To: Chairs of the Board
CSAC Executive Committee
County Administrative Officers

From: Paul McIntosh, CSAC Executive Director
Jean Kinney Hurst, CSAC Legislative Representative

Re: Latest 2009-10 State Budget News

This memo is intended to outline the most recent developments in state budget activities over the last week. New developments seem to be occurring nearly daily, so here is a recap for your review.

Friday, May 22: Budget Conference Committee convenes. The Budget Conference Committee met for the first time to hear about the state's severe cash flow shortfall. State Controller John Chiang and State Treasurer Bill Lockyer delivered a sobering message to the Conference Committee: a budget must be in place by June 15 to allow the state to issue the short-term borrowing it needs to be able to pay its bills on time. The Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) also presented an overview of the state's cash situation and cautioned the Committee to limit the use of borrowing in the budget to under \$10 billion. LAO also reiterated that it is nearly certain that the state will be required to defer many payments over the fiscal year in order to prudently manage its cash flow needs.

Tuesday, May 26: More cuts outlined in May Revision III.¹ Governor Schwarzenegger abandoned his original proposal to issue \$5.5 billion in Revenue Anticipation Warrants (RAWs) and directed the Department of Finance (DOF) to develop an additional \$5.5 billion in budget reductions. The DOF presented these proposals to the Conference Committee in summary form and we have outlined them for you in this Memo.

Wednesday, May 27: Public testimony before Conference Committee begins. The Budget Conference Committee spent more than eight hours hearing from the public on the various cuts to health and human services programs proposed in the May Revision. Supervisors providing testimony on important county issues included Supervisor Liz Kniss (Santa Clara County), Supervisor Susan Adams (Marin County), Supervisor Tony Oliviera (Kings County), Supervisor Helen Thomson (Yolo County), and Supervisor Terry Woodrow (Alpine County). Testimony focused on counties as partners with the state in the provision of safety net services to the most vulnerable of our citizens.

Thursday, May 28: Conference Committee hears Proposition 1A and transportation issues. The Budget Conference Committee focused on local government issues, in particular transportation funding and Proposition 1A. Supervisor Mike McGowan (Yolo County) testified before the Committee on

¹ The Governor's released May Revision I and II on May 14. May Revision I detailed cost savings proposals needed to address \$15+ billion in shortfalls, where May Revision II set out an additional \$5 billion that would be contemplated if the May 19 special election initiatives failed.

transportation proposals that would essentially de-fund local transportation for the 2009-10 fiscal year. Supervisors Kathy Long (Ventura County), Kim Vann (Colusa County), and Mike McGowan (Yolo County) discussed the disproportionate impact of the proposed suspension of Proposition 1A on California counties, particularly when considered in combination with the proposed cuts and cost shifts and potential cash deferrals and delays. We were pleased to see that the Committee was particularly interested in the total impact of the proposed budget reductions on counties.

Friday, May 29: More cuts – May Revision IV. The Administration proposed an additional \$2.8 billion in budget reductions to address a burgeoning shortfall. This memo outlines those proposals, as well.

Monday, June 1: Conference Committee continues. Today, the DOF presented its now fourth version of a May Revision in an attempt to recognize the \$24.3 billion budget deficit outlined by the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO). The Budget Conference Committee heard about the Administration's proposals in education and child care. While we expected the state's cash management plan to be released, the DOF has indicated that it will be delayed to some unknown date.

On Tuesday, June 2 the Budget Conference Committee is scheduled to hear public safety and general government issues. After another day of public testimony on Wednesday, the Committee is expected to continue meeting through the week, potentially even through the weekend, to begin to make decisions on budget reductions. Please understand that the situation in Sacramento is very fluid and rather tense. In an extraordinarily compressed timeframe and with rather dire immediate consequences in the offing, the Legislature is left to choose from among a series of impossibly calamitous options. In many instances, few details have been provided on individual proposals.

Finally, the Governor will address the Legislature in joint session tomorrow at 10 a.m. We do not anticipate that he will make any new announcements, but expect him to impress upon lawmakers the critical need to make significant reductions in state spending in the next two weeks. Suffice it to say, things are moving fast and furious; CSAC will communicate updates to counties frequently in the coming days.

This memo outlines the new proposals considered as part of the May Revision. For CSAC's recap of the Governor's May Revision proposal, please see our [May Revision summary](#). For a comprehensive document that outlines the Administration's May Revision proposals, see the DOF [website](#).

Additional Budget Proposals

The following narrative outlines the additional budget proposals from the Administration and the Legislative Analyst since May 14. Due to the fluid nature of budget discussions and the rapid introduction of new proposals, details in certain areas are limited. We will continue to provide further information as it is made available.

ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

Summary of Budget Proposals since the May Revision. In the justice area, the majority of new cost savings proposals introduced since the Governor's May Revision emanate from the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO). Prior to outlining the LAO proposals, we provide details on three proposals among the Administration's revisions to the May Revision. These new proposals would affect the judicial branch and corrections department, as follows:

- **Judicial Branch.** Reduce General Fund support for courts by an additional 10 percent and require electronic court reporting for a state savings of \$181.6 million in 2009-10;
- **California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR).**
 - Commute prison terms – by one year – of nonviolent, non-serious and non-sex offenders for a state savings of \$120.5 million in 2009-10.

- Reduce corrections contract expenditures, reduce CDCR rehabilitation program and other CDCR Reductions for a state savings of \$788.5 million in 2009-10. Impacted programs would include a range of rehabilitative services such as substance abuse counseling, vocational training, and educational programs. (Effectively, funding for all in-custody treatment would be eliminated, with the exception of that which is court-ordered.) In addition, funding for state prison building maintenance is being eliminated on a one-time basis in 2009-10 and other operational savings will be achieved.

The LAO also has identified a number of alternatives for the Legislature to consider as it attempts to close the enormous budget shortfall. The suggestions in the public safety arena are outlined below; in certain instances, few specific details have been provided. More information will follow as it becomes available.

- **Redirection of Vehicle License Fee (VLF) Increase for Public Safety Programs.** Redirect half of local public safety funding (from .15 percent VLF increase approved in February) to the state General Fund, maintaining support for only the Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act and the Juvenile Probation and Camps Funding. This action would result in elimination of funding for: Citizens' Option for Public Safety (COPS), rural and small county sheriffs' local assistance, local detention facility revenue (previously booking fees), and all public safety grant programs administered through the California Emergency Management Agency. State savings: \$250 million.
- **Implementation of Department of Justice (DOJ) Forensic Lab Fees.** Charge state and local agencies for Department of Justice forensic lab services. State savings: \$20 million in 2009-10 and \$40 million in 2010-11.
- **Closure of One Youth Correctional Facility.** Direct the Division of Juvenile Justice to close an additional state juvenile detention facility. State savings: \$15 million.
- **Redirection of AB 900 Funds for Reentry Facility Site Evaluations.** Redirect on a one-time basis AB 900 funds earmarked for reentry facility site evaluations to the state general fund. State savings: \$5 million.
- **Early Release of Inmates.**
 - Release state prison inmates who have no current or prior serious, violent, or sex offenses 30 days early. State savings: \$50 million annually.
 - Release inmates over the age of 55 who have no current or prior serious, violent or sex offenses. State savings: \$9 million annually.
- **No New Prison Admissions for Specified Offenders.** Convicted offenders sentenced to six months or less of prison time would serve term in county jails. State savings: \$51 million in 2009-10 and \$57 million in 2010-11.
- **Sentencing Adjustments.**
 - Enhance credit earnings available for inmates. State savings: \$104 million in 2009–10 and 2010-11.
 - Require second and third “strikes” to be serious or violent in order for offender to be subject to a full “Third Strikes” sentence. State savings: \$10 million in 2009-10 and \$50 million in 2010-11.
 - Adjust property crime thresholds resulting in more offenders remaining in local, county detention facilities versus being sentenced to state prison. State savings: \$51 million annually.
- **Various Parole Reforms.** *[Certain of these proposals are similar to CDCR’s plan for addressing the \$400 million unallocated reduction imposed with the signing of the February 2009–10 budget.]*
 - Reduce time served for parole violations. State savings: \$96 million in 2009-10 and \$143 million in 2010-11.
 - Exclude parolees with technical and misdemeanor violations from revocation to prison. State savings: \$262 million in 2009-10 and \$350 million in 2010-11.
 - Expand the use of alternative sanctions for parole violators. State savings of \$94 million annually.
 - Implement earned discharge program for parolees. State savings of \$100 million in 2009-10 and \$175 million in 2010-11.

- **Phased-in Competitive Bidding for Court Security.** Require a competitive bidding process for the provision of court security services. State savings of \$20 million in 2009-10 and \$40 million in 2010-11.
- **Transfer of funds from Immediate and Critical Needs Account.** Transfer funds from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account (ICNA) of the State Court Construction Fund to the General Fund for two years. (Counties will recall that SB 1407 (Chapter 311, Statutes of 2008, Perata) authorized a series of fee increases to be directed into the ICNA for purposes of addressing the most pressing state court construction needs.) State savings of \$250 million annually.

AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES

State Parks. The May 26 Revision, proposes to eliminate all General Fund funding for State Parks and would require the Department to operate on fee revenue and special funding.

State Mandate Suspensions. The May 29 Revision proposes to suspend a number of state mandates, including the animal adoption mandate, which increased the holding period for stray and abandoned specified animals.

GOVERNMENT FINANCE AND OPERATIONS

Mandates. The Governor has proposed to suspend almost all reimbursable state mandates except for those dealing with public safety and property tax distribution. The list of suspended mandates appears at the end of this document along with a separate list that briefly explains each of them. The mandates proposed to be suspended include those related to absentee balloting, binding arbitration, coroners, mentally disordered offenders, and perinatal services, to name just a few.

Proposition 1A Shift for Medi-Cal. In testimony before the Budget Conference Committee this past Thursday, a DOF representative suggested that the Administration would use the borrowed property tax revenue (Proposition 1A) to pay for Medi-Cal. This approach seems to indicate an effort to circumvent an issue that has come to light regarding the state’s MOE for school funding related to the federal stimulus dollars. If the state were to merely add the borrowed property tax revenue to ERAF and shift money away from schools to the state’s General Fund, then they would run afoul of that requirement.

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Of the two additional sets of proposed May Revision cuts on May 26 and May 29, a number of proposals will impact health and human services programs. Below is a brief summary of the Administration’s proposals; these cuts are in addition to the cuts proposed on May 14. Please note that the Administration has provided very little detail on the cuts.

Program	Proposal	Estimated Savings in 2009-10
CalWORKs	Eliminate the entire program. Affects 1 million children and 300,000 adults.	\$1.3 billion GF Lose \$4.2 billion in federal TANF funds
Human Services Fund Shift	The Administration is proposing that counties would be responsible for 75 percent of the nonfederal costs of the Child Welfare Services and Foster Care programs (up from 30 percent and 60 percent, respectively). To pay for this significant increase in the share of costs, estimated by the Administration to be \$550 million in 2009-10 and \$625 million in 2009-10, counties would use the realignment	\$550 million GF

Program	Proposal	Estimated Savings in 2009-10
	“savings” resulting from the elimination of the CalWORKs program and the cuts to the IHSS program. It is highly questionable whether these savings to the counties will really materialize.	
Mental Health Managed Care	Reduce mental health managed care allocation to counties by \$64 million, with no reductions on service expectations for this federal entitlement.	\$64 million GF
Early Periodic Screening Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT)	Reduce allocation to counties by \$28 million with the expectation that counties will backfill with Mental Health Services Act funds.	\$28 million GF
Mental Health	Defer funding for AB 3632.	\$52 million
Mental Health	Eliminate Caregiver Resource Centers.	\$10.5 million GF
Healthy Families Program	Eliminate the program for the 930,000 children currently served.	\$932.4 million (\$310.8 million GF)
Medi-Cal	Assumes additional savings – \$250 million in 2009-10 and \$500 million in 2010-11 – from federal flexibility on top of the \$750 million in savings identified in the original May Revision document.	\$2.5 billion (\$1 billion GF)
Medi-Cal	Eliminate State-Only Programs, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Non-emergency services for undocumented immigrants, including breast and cervical cancer treatment and postpartum care [excludes prenatal and long-term care] ▪ Institutions for Mental Disease ancillary services payments ▪ Dialysis ▪ Non-digestive nutrition ▪ Breast and cervical cancer treatment for women over 65 and men 	\$86 million (\$34.4 million GF)
Medi-Cal	Skilled nursing facility COLA. Suspend the 5.0 percent COLA effective August 1, 2009.	\$167.8 million (\$67.1 million GF)
Medi-Cal	Eliminate Adult Day Health Care	\$117 million GF
AIDS Drug Assistance Program and Office of AIDS funding	Proposals include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Expand client cost sharing ▪ Limit the formulary in the AIDS Drug Assistance Program ▪ Reduce and eliminate other HIV/AIDS programs such as HIV counseling and testing, epidemiologic case studies and surveillance, Therapeutic Monitoring Program, and Home and Community Based Care 	\$55.5 million GF In addition, will lose an unspecified amount of federal funds
Aging	Eliminate the Multipurpose Senior Services Program, Alzheimer’s Day Resource Centers, and Adult Day Care.	\$52 million (\$34.4 million GF)
In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS)	Provide IHSS only to consumers with a functional index of 4.0 or higher. Consumers with a lower functional index would lose all services.	\$577 million GF (\$230.8 million GF)
TOTAL		\$10.7 billion all funds (\$3.8 billion GF)

In addition, the Legislative Analyst has proposed a number of alternative cuts. Below is a summary of the LAO’s options.

Program	Proposal	Estimated Savings
HEALTH		
Alcohol and Drug Programs	Redirect state and federal asset forfeiture proceeds to support drug treatment programs	\$10 million in GF savings
Proposition 36	Use federal Byrne Grant funds to supplement substance abuse treatment activities provided by the Offender Treatment Program	\$50 million GF savings in 2009-10, \$15 million GF savings in 2010-11
Clinic Funding	Suspend grants to primary care clinics	\$22 million GF savings
Clinic Funding	Suspend state-only funding for the Expanded Access to Primary Care program	\$13 million GF savings
Medi-Cal	Implement co-pays for nonemergency use of emergency rooms	\$5.8 million in GF savings in 2009-10, \$7.7 million in GF savings for 2010-11
Medi-Cal	Shift eligibility determinations from the counties to the state	\$17.5 in GF savings
Medi-Cal	Reduce benefits to the federal minimum – eliminate payment for over the counter drugs in the Medi-Cal Program.	\$8.6 million in GF savings in 2009-10, \$11.5 million in GF savings in 2010-11
Medi-Cal	Eliminate Medicare Part B payments for all beneficiaries who have not met their Medi-Cal share-of-cost	\$4.1 million GF savings in 2009-10, \$5.4 million GF savings in 2010-11
HIV/AIDS programs	Reduce several HIV/AIDS programs: 1) expand client cost-sharing and limit the formulary in AIDS Drug Assistance Program; and 2) reduce other HIV/AIDS programs	\$24.6 million in GF savings
immunization	Suspend General Fund support for immunization assistance to local agencies	\$18 million in GF savings
Healthy Families Program (HFP)	Implement co-payment increase in Healthy Families Program (HFP) as proposed by Governor in his 2008-09 budget proposal	\$1.9 million in GF savings for 2009-10, \$2.9 million GF savings in 2010-11
Healthy Families Program (HFP)	Lower HFP Dental Cap to \$1,000 as proposed by Governor in his 2008-09 budget proposal	\$1.9 in GF savings for 2009-10, \$2.8 million in GF savings for 2010-11
Healthy Families Program (HFP)	Increase HFP premiums for beneficiaries with family incomes over 150% of the federal poverty level by \$8-\$13/month	\$19.1 million in GF savings for 2009-10, \$28.2 GF savings in 2010-11
Access for Infants and Mothers	Modify eligibility for Access for Infants and Mothers so women with high-deductible private coverage would keep their coverage and receive assistance in paying their deductibles	\$5.6 million GF savings in 2009-10, \$11 million savings in 2010-11
TOTAL		\$202.1 million GF savings in 2009-10, \$189.6 savings in 2010-11
HUMAN SERVICES		
Child Support Services	Create matching program for local child support agencies	\$4 million in GF savings
Automation	Reduce welfare automation maintenance and operations by 10 percent	\$6 million in GF savings
Automation	Reduce child welfare system maintenance and operations by 10 percent	\$4 million in GF savings

Program	Proposal	Estimated Savings
CalWORKs	Make CalWORKs work participation voluntary through September 2010	\$201.8 million GF savings in 2009-10
CalWORKs	Adopt community service requirement for parents who have been on aid for more than five years effective Oct. 1, 2010	\$16.7 million GF savings in 2010-11
CalWORKs	Make an in-person interview a condition of eligibility for CalWORKs effective Oct. 1, 2010	\$16.8 million GF savings in 2010-11
CalWORKs	Modify CalWORKs earned income disregard to better reward work effective Oct. 1, 2010	\$15 million GF savings in 2010-11
Child Welfare	Suspend "hold harmless" budgeting methodology for Child Welfare Services for three years.	\$9.7 million in GF savings
Child Welfare	Reform Adoption Assistance Program (AAP) prospectively	\$2 million GF savings in 2009-10, \$12 million GF savings in 2010-11
Child Welfare	Eliminate the supplemental clothing allowance for Foster Care, Kin-GAP and AAP	\$10 million in GF savings
Child Welfare	Eliminate the Dual Agency Rate Supplement	\$5.4 million in GF savings
Child Welfare	Reduce funding for Extended Independent Living Program	\$10 million in GF savings
Child Welfare	Eliminate funding for emancipated foster youth stipends	\$3.6 million in GF savings
Child Welfare	Eliminate the Kinship Support Services Program	\$4 million in GF savings
Child Welfare	Cap fully loaded CWS social worker costs at \$155,000	\$4 million in GF savings
Child Welfare	Establish 30 percent county share for Transitional Housing program Plus for emancipated foster youth	\$12.3 million in GF savings
California Food Assistance Program	Restrict California Food Assistance Program to legal non-citizens residing alone	\$25.3 million in 2009-10, \$40.8 million in GF savings in 2010-11
In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS)	Eliminate or reduce IHSS share-of-cost buyouts	\$35.6 million GF savings in 2009-10, \$47.5 million GF savings in 2010-11
In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS)	Make IHSS advisory committees optional and eliminate state support	\$1.6 million GF savings
SSI/SSP	Reduce SSI/SSP couples grants to federal minimum	\$80 million GF savings in 2009-10, \$98 million in 2010-11
Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants	Restrict Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants to most vulnerable populations, such as those living alone or those without adequate sponsors	\$34 million GF savings in 2009-10, \$45 million in GF savings in 2010-11
TOTAL		\$453.3 million GF savings in 2009-10, \$366.4 million in 2010-11

HOUSING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION

Highway Users Tax Account. In order to achieve the \$5.5 billion in additional cuts or borrowing created by the removal of the revenue anticipation warrants (RAW) proposal, the LAO is suggesting that the Legislature consider borrowing transportation funds, including the local share of the state excise tax on gasoline, also known as the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA) subventions. The specific proposal is to

borrow \$1 billion or the entire local portion of the HUTA in 2009-10. The State would have to pay cities and counties back within three years; however, the State is not required to repay with interest. The State can also borrow HUTA funds an unlimited number of times and before any payback, as these funds do not have the same protections as Proposition 42. Proposition 42 can only be borrowed again if paid back, and borrowing is limited to twice in 10 years with interest.

The DOF also has advanced a HUTA-related proposal which would permanently redirect virtually the entire county and city share of the 18-cent gas tax to pay for highway debt bond service. Counties and cities currently receive 6.46 cents of the 18-cent gas tax, equal to approximately \$1 billion annually. Counties receive 3.23 cents, which in 2009-10 is estimated to provide roughly \$500 million for counties. The current DOF proposal, which would permanently redirect \$375 million from counties on an ongoing basis to finance General Fund debt service, would dramatically impact county public works departments and counties' ability to meet the mobility and safety needs of the public.

The funds counties receive on a monthly basis are a primary source of funding that can be used for operations and maintenance. Local gas tax subventions make up a significant portion of county operations and maintenance budgets for labor, equipment, and materials (i.e. typically 50 percent or greater). For example, Los Angeles County has indicated that 99 percent of their operations and maintenance is from this revenue source. The loss of these vital funds will lead to significant lay-offs, deferral of critical maintenance and repair of the local streets and roads system and, in some cases, result in the closure of county public works departments.

Proposition 42. The LAO is also proposing to use Proposition 42 funds to help solve the state budget deficit. Specifically, they are recommending suspending a total of \$1.15 billion in Prop 42 funding, \$225 million of which would be a direct hit to counties. Should this proposal be implemented counties would receive approximately \$75 million in Prop 42 in 2009-10.

Even more devastating, however, is the LAO proposal to permanently repeal Proposition 42 in 2010-11. This action would mean a loss of \$325 million for counties in 2010-11 and an equal amount, if not more, in future years.

Proposition 1B. In order to mitigate the above mentioned cuts or suspensions, some are suggesting that the remaining \$450 million in county local streets and roads monies from Proposition 1B would provide some mitigation. This is true only if the loss lasts for only one year.

Local Agency Mandates	
Mandate Title & Statute	Description
General Fund Mandates	
AB 3632 2004 & 2005	Mental Health Services.
Absentee Ballots (Ch. 77, Stats. 1978)	Make absentee ballots available to all voters.
Absentee Ballots-Tabulation by Precinct (Ch. 697, Stats. 1999)	Include the precinct on the elections official's absentee ballot list.
AIDS/Search Warrant (Ch. 1088, Stats.1988)	Notify all crime victims of their right to request a search warrant. Prepare search warrant. Administer the HIV test. Offer professional counseling.
Allocation of Property Tax Revenues (Ch. 697, Stats. 1992)	Plan, implement, report, distribute, administer and account for new property tax revenue allocations to school districts.
Animal Adoption (Ch. 752, Stats. 1998)	Increased the holding period for stray and abandoned specified animals.
Binding Arbitration	Once arbitration is triggered, follow specific activities. One time cost, no on-going mandate.
Brendon Maguire Act (Ch. 391, Stats.1988)	Requires a special election.
Conservatorship: Developmentally Disabled Adults (Ch. 1304, Stats. 1980)	Provide legal counsel.
Coroners Costs (Ch. 498, Stats. 1977)	Perform inquiry into deaths when a patient dies in a hospital serving the mentally disabled under the State DMH or the State DDS.
Crime Victim Rights (Ch. 411, Stats. 1995)	Notify the victim of a violent felony of a pending pretrial disposition.
Crime Victim's Domestic Violence Incident Reports	Store domestic violence incident reports and face sheets for an additional three years.
Custody of Minors-Child Abduction and Recovery (Ch. 1399, Stats. 1976; Ch. 162, Stats. 1992; and Ch. 988, Stats. 1996)	Actively assist in the resolution of custody problems and the enforcement of custody decrees.
Developmentally Disabled Attorneys' Services (Ch. 694, Stats. 1975)	Case preparation and representation at commitment proceedings.
DNA Database & Amendment to Postmortem Examinations	Collect samples for DNA testing from the remains of unidentified persons and send samples to DOJ.
Domestic Violence Arrest Policies (Ch.246, Stats. 1995)	Consult with local domestic violence agencies in developing the arrest policies.
Domestic Violence Arrests and Victims Assistance	Provide victim cards to victims of specified domestic violence crimes.
Domestic Violence Treatment Services (Ch. 183, Stats. 1992)	Administer and regulate batterer's treatment programs.
False Reports of Police Misconduct (Ch.590, Stats. 1995)	Require a complainant to read and sign an advisory. Make advisory available in multiple languages.
Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities	Preinspections of Care Facilities.
Firearm Hearings for Discharged Inpatients	DA activities in civil hearings regarding specific petitions appealing a firearm prohibition.
Firefighter's Cancer Presumption (Ch.1568, Stats. 1982)	Expired July 1, 2008. No additional costs.

Handicapped & Disabled II (2001-2005)	Mental Health Services. One time costs subsequently consolidated in 2006.
Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters (Ch. 1120, Stats.1996)	Provide a one-time notification to the surviving spouse to minor dependents when the minor dependents reach 21.
Medi-Cal Beneficiary Death Notices (Chs.102 and 1163, Stats. 1981)	Provide notice with information regarding deceased Medi-Cal recipients to the Director of Health Services.
Mentally Disordered Offenders' Extended Commitments Proceedings (Ch. 435, Stats.1991)	Prepare and file petitions with the superior court. Represent the state and the indigent offender in civil hearings.
Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Condition of Parole	District attorney services to represent the people and public defender services to represent indigent prisoners or parolees.
Mentally Disordered Sex Offenders' Recommitments (Ch. 1036, Stats. 1978)	Court proceedings.
Mentally Retarded Defendants Representation (Ch. 1253, Stats. 1980)	Submit a report to the court.
Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity (Ch.1114, Stats. 1979)	Bring petitions in the name of the State of California to effect extensions of commitments in state hospitals.
Pacific Beach Safety (Ch. 961, Stats.1992)	Post the beach with conspicuous warning signs and submit to the State Water Resources Control Board an annual survey.
Peace Officer Personnel Records: Unfounded Complaints and Discovery	Immediately notify the individual whose records are sought. Provide written notification to the complaining party of the disposition of the complaint against the peace officer.
Perinatal Services (Ch. 1603, Stats. 1990)	Establish protocols among county health departments, county welfare departments, and all hospitals in the county.
Permanent Absent Voters (Ch. 1422, Stats.1982)	Establish and maintain a list of permanent absent voters who provide evidence of physical disability. Mail absent voter ballots.
Photographic Record of Evidence (Ch.875, Stats. 1985)	Provide photographic record of evidence for evidence. Provide certificate of written chemical analysis of evidence. Store evidence.
POBOR (Not subject to Prop 1A)	Employee rights pertaining to adverse actions.
Police Officer's Cancer Presumption (Ch.1171, Stats. 1989)	Expired July 1, 2008. No additional costs.
Post Conviction: DNA Court Proceedings	Hearings on the motion for DNA testing. Retention of biological material.
Postmortem Examinations (Ch. 284,Stats. 2000)	Report the death to the DOJ.
Racial Profiling	Training for peace officers.
Rape Victim Counseling (Ch. 999, Stats.1991)	Request each victim's consent to notify a rape counseling center. Furnish a rape victim with a "Victims of Domestic Violence" card.
Senior Citizens Property Tax Deferral (Ch. 1242, Stats. 1977)	Process Certificate of Eligibility, "Notice of Lien," and "Release of Lien."
Sexually Violent Predators (Chs. 762 and 763, Stats. 1995)	Petitions for civil commitment and trials.
Stolen Vehicle Notification (Ch. 337, Stats.1990)	Notify the reporting party of the location and condition of the recovered stolen vehicle.
Threats Against Peace Officers (Ch.	Reimburse the officer or any member of his or

1249, Stats. 1992 and Ch. 666, Stats. 1995)	her immediate family for moving and relocation expenses.
Unitary Countywide Tax Rates (Ch. 921, Stats. 1987)	Issue single tax bill in the county. Compute unitary tax rates for all unitary and operating nonunitary property. Calculate allocation formula. Correct tax bills.
Voter Registration Procedures (Ch. 704, Stats. 1975)	Process registrations.
Other	
AB 3632 mandates for 2006-07 costs and subsequent years were consolidated and funded in the DMH budget (4440-104-0001).	Provisional language in 4440-104-0001 clarifies that funds must be used to offset claims for reimbursement.
Administrative License Suspension, Per Se funded from the Motor Vehicle Account.	Requesting and administering the alcohol screening test. Taking possession of any driver's license. Completing a sworn report.
Pesticide Use Reports funded from the Pesticide Regulation Fund.	Issue operator and site identification numbers. Review and file specified pesticide use reports. Inspect pesticide use records. Audit the pesticide use records. Audit the sales records.